

Anticoagulation-associated Adverse Drug Events

Gregory Piazza, MD,^a Thanh Nha Nguyen, PharmD,^b Deborah Cios, PharmD,^c Matthew Labreche, PharmD,^b Benjamin Hohlfelder,^b John Fanikos, RPh, MBA,^c Karen Fiumara, PharmD,^d Samuel Z. Goldhaber, MD^a

^aCardiovascular Division, Department of Medicine, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston, Mass; ^bVenous Thromboembolism Research Group, ^cDepartment of Pharmacy, and ^dCenter for Clinical Excellence, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Boston, Mass.

ABSTRACT

PURPOSE: Anticoagulant drugs are among the most common medications that cause adverse drug events (ADEs) in hospitalized patients. We performed a 5-year retrospective study at Brigham and Women's Hospital to determine clinical characteristics, types, root causes, and outcomes of anticoagulant-associated ADEs.

METHODS: We reviewed all inpatient anticoagulant-associated ADEs, including adverse drug reactions (ADRs) and medication errors, reported at Brigham and Women's Hospital through the Safety Reporting System from May 2004 to May 2009. We also collected data about the cost associated with hospitalizations in which ADRs occurred.

RESULTS: Of 463 anticoagulant-associated ADEs, 226 were medication errors (48.8%), 141 were ADRs (30.5%), and 96 (20.7%) involved both a medication error and ADR. Seventy percent of anticoagulant-associated ADEs were potentially preventable. Transcription errors (48%) were the most frequent root cause of anticoagulant-associated medication errors, while medication errors (40%) were a common root cause of anticoagulant-associated ADRs. Death within 30 days of anticoagulant-associated ADEs occurred in 11% of patients. After an anticoagulant-associated ADR, most hospitalization expenditures were attributable to nursing costs (mean \$33,189 per ADR), followed by pharmacy costs (mean \$7451 per ADR).

CONCLUSION: Most anticoagulant-associated ADEs among inpatients result from medication errors and are, therefore, potentially preventable. We observed an elevated 30-day mortality rate among patients who suffered an anticoagulant-associated ADE and high hospitalization costs following ADRs. Further quality improvement efforts to reduce anticoagulant-associated medication errors are warranted to improve patient safety and decrease health care expenditures.

© 2011 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved. • *The American Journal of Medicine* (2011) 124, 1136-1142

KEYWORDS: Adverse drug events; Adverse drug reactions; Anticoagulation; Medication errors

Adverse drug events (ADEs), which comprise medication errors and adverse drug reactions (ADRs), represent a major source of harm among hospitalized patients and have been a driving force behind implementation of electronic health

records, including computerized provider order entry.¹⁻⁶ One study reported that 6.5 medication-related adverse events occurred per 100 hospitalizations and estimated that more than one quarter of these events were the result of a medication error.⁷ Medication errors are potentially preventable causes of ADRs that can occur at all stages of the medication process, including prescription, transcription, dispensing, and administration.⁸⁻¹² Compared with nonpreventable events, potentially preventable ADEs have been shown to double the incremental additional length of stay and health care costs attributable to the event.¹³

Anticoagulant drugs, including warfarin, unfractionated heparin, and low-molecular-weight heparin, are among the most commonly implicated medications that cause ADEs in

Funding: Dr Piazza is supported by a Research Career Development Award (K12 HL083786) from the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute (NHLBI). This study was funded, in part, by a clinical research grant from Johnson & Johnson (New Brunswick, NJ).

Conflict of Interest: None.

Authorship: All authors had access to the data and played a role in writing this manuscript.

Requests for reprints should be addressed to Gregory Piazza, MD, Cardiovascular Division, Brigham and Women's Hospital, 75 Francis St., Boston, MA 02115.

E-mail address: gpiazza@partners.org

hospitalized patients.^{1,4,6,11,14} Despite implementation of computerized provider order entry, electronic medication administration records, and improved infusion pump technology (“smart pumps”), medication errors involving anticoagulant medications remain common.^{11,12} Elderly^{15,16} and cardiac patients¹⁴ represent populations at particularly high risk for suffering anticoagulant-associated ADRs.

To determine the clinical characteristics, types, severity, root causes, and outcomes of anticoagulant-associated ADEs, we performed a 5-year retrospective study of the Safety Reporting System at Brigham and Women’s Hospital. We reviewed discrete ADEs that originated during hospitalization at Brigham and Women’s Hospital. We also conducted an analysis of the cost associated with hospitalizations in which ADRs occurred.

METHODS

Patient Population

Brigham and Women’s Hospital is a 793-bed acute tertiary care facility providing medical and surgical care for patients with general medical, cardiothoracic, orthopedic, oncologic, neurologic, obstetric and gynecologic, neonatal, urologic, and gastrointestinal conditions. Brigham and Women’s Hospital utilizes a Medical Informatics System that integrates an online medical record, computerized provider order entry, an electronic medication administration record, and an electronic safety reporting system. The Safety Reporting System is a voluntary computerized reporting system for ADRs and medication errors, which was instituted in May 2004.

Data Collection

We reviewed all inpatient anticoagulant-associated ADEs, including ADRs and medication errors, reported at Brigham and Women’s Hospital through the Safety Reporting System from May 2004 to May 2009. Inpatient events for the purpose of our study were required to have originated during hospitalization at Brigham and Women’s Hospital. We excluded reported anticoagulant-associated ADEs that took place in the Emergency Department, Operating Room, Cardiac Catheterization Laboratory, Cardiovascular Recovery Room, Post-Anesthesia Care Unit, and Neonatal Intensive Care Unit because medication administration records were not consistently computerized in these units during the registry period. We defined an ADR as a response to a drug normally used for prophylaxis or therapy of disease and that

was noxious and unintended.¹⁷ A medication error was defined as an event that caused or led to inappropriate medication use or patient harm.¹⁷ A medication error that was discovered and corrected before reaching the patient was classified as a near miss. All isolated medication errors and ADRs that resulted from medication errors were considered potentially preventable.

We searched the Safety Reporting System using Risk Monitor Pro (rL Solutions, Inc., Cambridge, Mass). We evaluated the patient characteristics, ADE type and severity, root cause, and outcomes of all anticoagulation-associated medication errors and ADRs. All patient records were reviewed for evidence of treatment with an anticoagulant medication. Hemorrhagic events were classified according to the Global Use of Strategies to Open Occluded Coronary Arteries (GUSTO) criteria for severe or life-threatening, moderate, or mild bleeding.¹⁸ We obtained 30-day follow-up for 100% of patients included in the registry.

We included all anticoagulant medications administered for prophylaxis and treatment of thromboembolic events. ADEs associated with the use of the following anticoagulants were reviewed: unfractionated heparin, low-molecular-weight heparin, fondaparinux, warfarin, and direct thrombin inhibitors, such as argatroban, bivalirudin, and lepirudin. A multidisciplinary team, including physicians, pharmacists, and a hospital patient safety officer, reviewed all reported anticoagulant-related events and evaluated the root cause of all ADEs.

We also collected data about the cost associated with hospitalizations in which ADEs occurred. Because medication errors that do not culminate in an ADR do not add significant incremental cost to hospitalization, we included only ADRs in our cost analysis. ADRs that added significant incremental cost to hospitalization were distinguished from those that did not by consensus of a physician (GP), pharmacist (TNN), and patient safety officer (KF). While patients may have suffered multiple ADRs during a hospital admission, we analyzed costs incurred after the initial event. We utilized a software program for cost accounting that is capable of tabulating the cost associated with a patient admission (Transitions System Incorporated, Waltham, Mass). We sorted costs by the following categories: nursing, pharmacy, blood products, clinical laboratory, radiology, surgery, nonsurgical intervention, and anesthesia. We compared total and

CLINICAL SIGNIFICANCE

- Most anticoagulant-associated adverse drug events (70%) are potentially preventable.
- Transcription errors comprise the most frequent root cause of anticoagulant-associated medication errors, and medication errors are a common root cause of anticoagulant-associated adverse drug reactions.
- After anticoagulant-associated adverse drug reactions, most hospitalization expenditures are attributable to nursing and pharmacy costs.
- Efforts to minimize anticoagulant-associated medication errors are warranted to improve patient safety and decrease hospitalization costs.

component costs of hospitalization for admissions in which an ADR occurred and added incremental expense with those for admissions in which an ADR occurred but was not determined to incur incremental cost.

Statistical Methods

Descriptive statistics including baseline characteristics; variables related to ADEs and those pertaining to outcomes were stratified as continuous or binary. Continuous variables were presented as medians with interquartile ranges. Binary variables were presented as numbers and proportions. Cost variables were presented as means with standard deviations and were compared using a 2-sample *t*-test. All reported *P*-values were 2-sided, and a *P*-value of <.05 was considered statistically significant. All statistical analyses were performed using STATA version 9.2 (STATA Corp., College Station, Tex).

RESULTS

Baseline Characteristics

Patients who suffered anticoagulant-associated ADEs had a median age of 62 years (Table 1). Their median body mass

Table 1 Baseline Characteristics and Medical Conditions in Patients with Anticoagulation-associated Adverse Drug Events (ADEs) (n = 463)*

Baseline characteristic	
Median age on admission, years (interquartile range)	62 (49-72)
Age >75 years, n (%)	92 (19.9)
Male, n (%)	246 (53.1)
Median body mass index, kg/m ² (interquartile range)	27.4 (23.8-33.1)
Median length of stay, d (interquartile range)	13 (7-23)
Medical condition	
Hypertension, n (%)	243 (52.5)
Surgery in past 2 months, n (%)	213 (46)
Ischemic heart disease, n (%)	140 (30.2)
Atrial fibrillation, n (%)	126 (27.2)
Serum creatinine >1.5 mg/dL, n (%)	125 (27)
Deep vein thrombosis or pulmonary embolism, n (%)	121 (26.1)
Heart failure, n (%)	106 (22.9)
Diabetes, n (%)	104 (22.5)
Active cancer without metastases, n (%)	74 (16)
Status post heart valve surgery, n (%)	68 (14.7)
Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, n (%)	66 (14.3)
History of stroke, n (%)	45 (9.7)
Active cancer with metastases, n (%)	42 (9.1)
Other thromboembolism, n (%)	40 (8.6)
History of cancer, n (%)	34 (7.3)
Dialysis, n (%)	30 (6.5)
Thrombophilia, n (%)	16 (3.5)

*Patients could have had more than 1 ADE.

Table 2 Characteristics of Adverse Drug Events (ADEs) in Patients Receiving Anticoagulation (n = 463)*

Characteristic of ADE	
Adverse drug reaction (ADR), n (%)	141 (30.5)
Medication error, n (%)	226 (48.8)
Combined medication error and ADR, n (%)	96 (20.7)
Near miss, n (%)	33 (7.1)
Potentially preventable ADE, n (%)	322 (69.5)
Anticoagulant associated with ADE	
Unfractionated heparin, n (%)	270 (58.3)
Warfarin, n (%)	96 (20.7)
Low-molecular weight heparin, n (%)	44 (9.5)
Argatroban, n (%)	29 (6.3)
Bivalirudin, n (%)	18 (3.9)
Lepirudin, n (%)	3 (0.7)
Fondaparinux, n (%)	3 (0.7)

ADR = adverse drug reaction.

*Patients could have had more than 1 ADE including both medication errors and ADRs.

index was 27.4 kg/m². Patients who suffered anticoagulant-associated ADEs had a median length of stay of 13 days. Patients with anticoagulant-associated ADEs had a high frequency of medical conditions, such as atrial fibrillation, history of deep vein thrombosis or pulmonary embolism, heart failure, ischemic heart disease, chronic kidney disease, and stroke, that increase the risk of thromboembolism.

Characteristics of Anticoagulant-associated Adverse Drug Events

In 250,725 admissions over the 5-year study period, there were 463 anticoagulant-associated ADEs reported. Of these anticoagulant-associated ADEs, 226 were medication errors (48.8%), 141 were ADRs (30.5%), and 96 (20.7%) involved both a medication error and ADR. Seventy percent of anticoagulant-associated ADEs were potentially preventable (Table 2). Unfractionated heparin (58%) and warfarin (21%) were the most commonly implicated drugs in anticoagulant-associated ADEs. An average of 1.4 anticoagulant-associated ADEs occurred per patient over the 5-year study period.

Characteristics of Anticoagulant-associated Medication Errors

The most frequent type of anticoagulant-associated medication errors were missed medication doses (25%) and wrong rate or frequency (23%) (Table 3). Transcription errors (48%) were the most frequent root cause of anticoagulant-associated medication errors, followed by memory lapses (16%).

Characteristics of Anticoagulant-associated Adverse Drug Reactions

The most frequent anticoagulant-associated ADRs were abnormal coagulation studies (72%), any bleeding (25%), and

Table 3 Types and Root Causes of Anticoagulant-associated Medication Errors (n = 323)

Type of medication error*	
Missed dose, n (%)	79 (24.5)
Wrong rate or frequency, n (%)	75 (23.2)
Medication not discontinued when ordered, n (%)	31 (9.6)
Extra dose, n (%)	26 (8.1)
Wrong dose, n (%)	23 (7.1)
Wrong time of administration, n (%)	17 (5.3)
Failure to act on laboratory result, n (%)	17 (5.3)
Known allergy or contraindication, n (%)	13 (4)
Wrong route, n (%)	10 (3.1)
Medication expired, n (%)	8 (2.5)
Wrong patient, n (%)	7 (2.2)
Wrong drug, n (%)	7 (2.2)
Preparation error, n (%)	6 (1.9)
Wrong technique of administration, n (%)	3 (0.9)
Medication administered without an order, n (%)	2 (0.6)
Inadequate monitoring, n (%)	1 (0.3)
Root cause of medication error	
Transcription error, n (%)	155 (48)
Memory lapse, n (%)	52 (16.1)
Infusion or parenteral administration problem, n (%)	28 (8.7)
Rule violation, n (%)	19 (5.9)
Lack of knowledge about drug, n (%)	18 (5.6)
Faulty drug identity checking, n (%)	17 (5.3)
Drug preparation error, n (%)	12 (3.7)
Drug stocking or delivery problem, n (%)	9 (2.8)
Faulty interaction between services, n (%)	7 (2.2)
Lack of information about the patient, n (%)	4 (1.2)
Faulty dose checking, n (%)	1 (0.3)
Known allergy or contraindication, n (%)	1 (0.3)

*Patients may have had more than 1 medication error type.

thrombocytopenia (18%) (Table 4). Seventy-two percent of all ADRs were associated with at least one occurrence of excessive anticoagulation, such as a super-therapeutic international normalized ratio or activated partial thromboplastin time. Seventeen percent of anticoagulant-associated ADRs culminated in transfusion of at least one unit of packed red blood cells. Undetected predisposing conditions (58%), such as a previously unknown drug allergy, and medication errors (40%) comprised the most common root causes of anticoagulant-associated ADRs.

Outcomes of Anticoagulant-associated Adverse Drug Events

Death within 30 days of anticoagulant-associated ADEs occurred in 11% of patients. In-hospital death occurred after 5.6% of anticoagulant-associated ADEs, while death after discharge but within 30 days of the ADE was observed in 5% (Table 5). A rehabilitation center stay was frequently required (40%) after an admission in which an anticoagulant-associated ADE occurred. Patients who suffered anticoagulant-associated ADEs had a high rate of re-hospitalization within 30 days of the ADE (17.5%).

Cost Analysis of Anticoagulant-associated Adverse Drug Reactions

Most hospitalization expenditures after an anticoagulant-associated ADR were attributable to nursing costs (mean \$33,189 per ADR) followed by pharmacy costs (mean \$7451 per ADR) (Table 6). ADRs that were determined to add incremental expense were associated with significant increases in total hospitalization cost (mean \$118,429 vs \$54,858, P = .02) as well as cost after the ADR (mean

Table 4 Characteristics and Root Causes of Adverse Drug Reactions (ADRs) (n = 238)

Characteristic of ADR	
Abnormal coagulation studies, n (%)	172 (72.3)
Occurrences of excessive anticoagulation during hospitalization, n (%)	171 (71.9)
Any bleeding event, n (%)	59 (24.8)
Occult bleed	21 (8.8)
Bleeding event related to surgery	14 (5.9)
Hematoma	8 (3.4)
Any gastrointestinal bleeding	6 (2.5)
Decrease in hematocrit	6 (2.5)
Retroperitoneal hemorrhage	3 (1.3)
Median length of stay post bleeding event, days (interquartile range)	9 (5-20)
Blood transfusion administered, n (%)	40 (16.8)
Blood transfusion administered with 48 hours after surgery, n (%)	13 (5.5)
Treatment of bleeding ADR, n (%)	
Vitamin K	10 (4.2)
Protamine	2 (0.8)
Fresh frozen plasma	11 (4.6)
Surgery	6 (2.5)
Catheterization laboratory	1 (0.4)
GUSTO bleeding classification, n (%)	
I	19 (8)
II	32 (13.5)
III	8 (3.4)
Thrombocytopenia, n (%)	43 (18.1)
Heparin-induced thrombocytopenia, n (%)	31 (13)
Any thromboembolic event, n (%)	16 (6.7)
Deep vein thrombosis	6 (2.5)
Pulmonary embolism	6 (2.5)
Myocardial infarction	1 (0.4)
Stroke	2 (0.8)
Other arterial thromboembolic event	1 (0.4)
Thromboembolic ADR requiring treatment, n (%)	8 (3.4)
Treatment of thromboembolic ADR, n (%)	
Catheterization laboratory	3 (1.3)
Interventional radiology procedure	1 (0.4)
Surgery	2 (0.8)
Root cause of ADR	
Undetected predisposing condition, n (%)	138 (58)
Medication error, n (%)	94 (39.5)
Drug-drug interaction, n (%)	3 (1.3)
Patient medication non-adherence, n (%)	2 (0.8)

GUSTO = Global Use of Strategies to Open Occluded Coronary Arteries study.

Table 5 Outcomes of Adverse Drug Events (ADEs) (n = 463)

Outcome	
In-hospital death, n (%)	26 (5.6)
Death after discharge but within 30 days of ADE, n (%)	23 (5)
Death due to thromboembolism, n (%)	4 (0.9)
Death due to bleeding event, n (%)	1 (0.2)
Discharge status, n (%)	
Home	236 (51)
Rehabilitation center	186 (40.2)
Hospice	13 (2.8)
Acute care facility	2 (0.4)
Deceased	26 (5.6)
Readmission within 30 days of ADE, n (%)	81 (17.5)

\$89,733 vs \$23,680, $P = .004$) compared with ADRs in which no incremental cost was determined to be incurred (Table 7). ADRs that were determined to add incremental cost to hospitalization were associated with significant increases in costs of nursing, pharmacy, blood products, clinical laboratory, and radiology.

DISCUSSION

We found that 48.8% of anticoagulant-associated ADEs were medication errors, 30.5% were ADRs, and 20.7% involved both medication errors and ADRs. Seventy percent of all reported anticoagulant-associated ADEs were potentially preventable. Transcription errors were the most common root cause of medication errors, while undetected predisposing conditions and medication errors were the most frequent root causes of ADRs. We noted high 30-day mortality (11%) in patients who experienced an anticoagulant-associated ADE during hospitalization. We observed high post-ADE hospitalization costs, largely attributable to nursing and pharmacy expenditures.

We had implemented computerized provider order entry, an electronic medication administration record, "smart" infusion pumps, and barcode technology before the registry was instituted. Therefore, we were surprised to observe that 70% of anticoagulant-associated ADEs were potentially preventable and that 40% of related ADRs were due to medication errors. Our data corroborate previous reports demonstrating that a substantial proportion of ADEs are due to medication errors and therefore potentially preventable.^{4,5} Computerized provider order entry,⁵ improved infusion pump technology,¹¹ and implementing barcode technology^{8,9} reduce medication errors. However, our root cause analysis suggests that further improvements can be made to reduce anticoagulant-associated medication errors, particularly those due to transcription errors. While computerized provider order entry, "smart" infusion pump technology, electronic medication administration records, and barcode technology reduce the frequency of some transcription errors, human error may occur at transition points

among these clinical tools and result in mistakes at any number of steps in medication transcription. The emergence of novel oral anticoagulants that are administered in fixed doses may further reduce dosing and infusion pump errors.¹⁹

We observed high 30-day mortality (11%) in patients suffering an anticoagulant-associated ADE during hospitalization. We speculate that this may be related to a combination of high medical acuity of patients suffering anticoagulant-associated ADEs and complications of the ADEs themselves. Patients with anticoagulant-associated ADEs had a high frequency of medical conditions such as heart failure, ischemic heart disease, chronic kidney disease, and stroke, all of which may increase patient vulnerability to the complications of anticoagulant-associated ADEs. Furthermore, anticoagulant-associated ADEs may be a marker for fragile patients with complicated hospital courses and long lengths of stay. The contribution of medical errors, including those associated with ADEs, to deaths occurring during hospitalization, may be overestimated in studies relying on physician review.²⁰ Regardless of whether anticoagulant-associated ADEs contribute to increased mortality or are

Table 6 Cost Associated with Adverse Drug Reactions (ADRs)*

	Mean (\$) ± SD†
Overall and departmental costs	
Total hospitalization	88,842 ± 166,708
Hospitalization pre-ADR	29,851 ± 49,264
Hospitalization post-ADR	58,991 ± 146,065
Nursing	33,189 ± 81,236
Pharmacy	7451 ± 21,878
Blood products	2318 ± 9235
Clinical laboratory	2001 ± 5069
Radiology	1864 ± 4175
Surgical	225 ± 1349
Interventional procedure	210 ± 1485
Anesthesia	46 ± 257
Drug-related costs	
Argatroban	1570 ± 7097
Bivalirudin	1102 ± 5879
Thrombolytic therapy	87 ± 579
Low-molecular weight heparin	49 ± 154
Unfractionated heparin	35 ± 64
Lepirudin	34 ± 327
Warfarin	23 ± 45
Fondaparinux	14 ± 76
Aprotinin	4 ± 51
Vitamin K	2 ± 6
Desmopressin	2 ± 12
Protamine	1 ± 6
Aminocaproic acid	0 ± 1

ADR = adverse drug reaction.

*Patients may have suffered multiple ADRs during a hospital admission. Costs incurred were analyzed after the initial event.

†Costs are rounded to the nearest US dollar.

Table 7 Incremental Cost Associated with Adverse Drug Reactions (ADRs)*

	No Incremental Cost (n = 85)	Incremental Cost (n = 74)	P-Value
Overall and departmental costs (Mean ± SD)†			
Total hospitalization	54,858 ± 115,659	118,429 ± 196,840	.02
Hospitalization pre-ADR	31,178 ± 58,172	28,696 ± 40,265	.75
Hospitalization post-ADR	23,680 ± 65,283	89,733 ± 185,395	.004
Nursing	14,008 ± 42,457	49,888 ± 101,194	.005
Pharmacy	2830 ± 9243	11,473 ± 28,123	.01
Blood products	548 ± 1703	3858 ± 12,359	.02
Clinical laboratory	902 ± 2469	2957 ± 6406	.01
Radiology	798 ± 2228	2792 ± 5158	.002
Surgical	0 ± 0	421 ± 1828	.0496
Interventional procedure	0 ± 0	393 ± 2019	.1
Anesthesia	0 ± 0	87 ± 348	.03
Drug-related costs (Mean ± SD)†			
Argatroban	231 ± 1441	2735 ± 9486	.03
Bivalirudin	70 ± 366	2001 ± 7946	.04
Thrombolytic therapy	24 ± 205	142 ± 766	.2
Lepirudin	0 ± 0	63 ± 446	.23
Low-molecular weight heparin	53 ± 183	45 ± 125	.72
Unfractionated heparin	27 ± 67	41 ± 60	.14
Warfarin	15 ± 43	30 ± 47	.04
Fondaparinux	0 ± 4	26 ± 102	.03
Aprotinin	0 ± 0	8 ± 69	.35
Vitamin K	1 ± 5	3 ± 7	.01
Desmopressin	0 ± 0	3 ± 17	.17
Protamine	0 ± 0	3 ± 8	.003
Aminocaproic acid	0 ± 0	0 ± 1	.21

*Patients may have suffered multiple ADRs during a hospital admission. Costs incurred were analyzed after the initial event.

†Costs are rounded to the nearest US dollar.

markers for higher medical acuity, patients who suffer these events represent a particularly vulnerable population. Accordingly, Quality Improvement initiatives to reduce anticoagulant-associated ADEs are critical.

Most hospitalization costs after an anticoagulant-associated ADE were attributable to nursing and pharmacy expenses. ADRs that incurred incremental cost doubled the total hospitalization costs and nearly quadrupled postevent costs compared with ADRs that did not incur any incremental expense. Our findings are similar to other reports that demonstrate the heavy financial burden of ADEs.^{13,21,22} Based on these data, efforts to reduce anticoagulant-associated ADEs have the potential to reduce hospitalization costs as well as improve patient safety.

Our data were obtained from a voluntary patient safety reporting system and therefore might be limited by underreporting and selective reporting, in which only the most severe ADEs or those with the greatest consequences are reported. Because we excluded patient care areas in which medication administration records were not consistently computerized, we may have omitted subgroups of patients who had suffered a higher proportion of anticoagulant-associated ADEs. Because anticoagulant medications are given throughout the hospital but not all

patient care areas have electronic medication administration records, it is not possible to calculate the denominator of patients exposed to anticoagulants. Therefore, we could not calculate the incidence of anticoagulant-associated ADEs and could not identify an appropriate comparison population of patients exposed to anticoagulant drugs who did not suffer ADEs. In addition, our analysis did not encompass community-acquired ADEs that resulted in hospitalization. While 5 deaths were clearly attributable to ADE-related bleeding (n = 1) or thromboembolism (n = 4), we were not able to quantify the contribution of ADEs to other deaths in this cohort. Finally, because of limitations in our cost accounting software, we were unable to separate costs incurred after the initial ADR from costs associated with subsequent events in a particular patient during the same hospitalization.

The methodology utilized in this analysis is consistent with published criteria for evaluating the scientific value of clinical data registries.²³ We utilized a large database generated from a tertiary care center that is representative of similar acute care facilities. Our study provides real-world insights into anticoagulant-associated ADEs from a medical center that has implemented an electronic health record that

integrates computerized provider order entry, an electronic medication administration record, "smart" infusion pumps, and barcode technology. We utilized a multidisciplinary group of physicians, pharmacists, and a patient safety officer to provide the highest accuracy for classifying events and determining root causes of ADEs. Finally, we provided outcomes data for patients who suffered anticoagulant-associated ADEs with 100% follow-up.

CONCLUSIONS

Most anticoagulant-associated ADEs among inpatients result from medication errors and are therefore potentially preventable. Transcription errors are the most common cause of medication errors which, in turn, are a frequent cause of anticoagulant-associated ADRs. We observed elevated 30-day mortality among patients who suffered an anticoagulant-associated ADE and high hospitalization costs following ADRs. Further efforts to reduce anticoagulant-associated medication errors are warranted to improve patient safety and decrease health care expenditures.

References

- Zaidenstein R, Eyal S, Efrati S, et al. Adverse drug events in hospitalized patients treated with cardiovascular drugs and anticoagulants. *Pharmacoepidemiol Drug Saf.* 2002;11:235-238.
- Silverman JB, Stapinski CD, Churchill WW, et al. Multifaceted approach to reducing preventable adverse drug events. *Am J Health Syst Pharm.* 2003;60:582-586.
- Piazza G, Goldhaber SZ. Computerized decision support for the cardiovascular clinician: applications for venous thromboembolism prevention and beyond. *Circulation.* 2009;120:1133-1137.
- Morimoto T, Sakuma M, Matsui K, et al. Incidence of adverse drug events and medication errors in Japan: the JADE Study. *J Gen Intern Med.* 2010;26:148-153.
- Hug BL, Witkowski DJ, Sox CM, et al. Adverse drug event rates in six community hospitals and the potential impact of computerized physician order entry for prevention. *J Gen Intern Med.* 2009;25:31-38.
- Classen DC, Jaser L, Budnitz DS. Adverse drug events among hospitalized Medicare patients: epidemiology and national estimates from a new approach to surveillance. *Jt Comm J Qual Patient Saf.* 2010;36:12-21.
- Bates DW, Cullen DJ, Laird N, et al. Incidence of adverse drug events and potential adverse drug events. Implications for prevention. ADE Prevention Study Group. *JAMA.* 1995;274:29-34.
- Poon EG, Keohane CA, Yoon CS, et al. Effect of bar-code technology on the safety of medication administration. *N Engl J Med.* 2010;362:1698-1707.
- Poon EG, Cina JL, Churchill W, et al. Medication dispensing errors and potential adverse drug events before and after implementing bar code technology in the pharmacy. *Ann Intern Med.* 2006;145:426-434.
- Leape LL, Bates DW, Cullen DJ, et al. Systems analysis of adverse drug events. ADE Prevention Study Group. *JAMA.* 1995;274:35-43.
- Grissinger MC, Hicks RW, Keroack MA, et al. Harmful medication errors involving unfractionated and low-molecular-weight heparin in three patient safety reporting programs. *Jt Comm J Qual Patient Saf.* 2010;36:195-202.
- Fanikos J, Stapinski C, Koo S, et al. Medication errors associated with anticoagulant therapy in the hospital. *Am J Cardiol.* 2004;94:532-535.
- Bates DW, Spell N, Cullen DJ, et al. The costs of adverse drug events in hospitalized patients. Adverse Drug Events Prevention Study Group. *JAMA.* 1997;277:307-311.
- Fanikos J, Cina JL, Baroletti S, et al. Adverse drug events in hospitalized cardiac patients. *Am J Cardiol.* 2007;100:1465-1469.
- Hanlon JT, Pieper CF, Hajjar ER, et al. Incidence and predictors of all and preventable adverse drug reactions in frail elderly persons after hospital stay. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci.* 2006;61:511-515.
- Hajjar ER, Hanlon JT, Artz MB, et al. Adverse drug reaction risk factors in older outpatients. *Am J Geriatr Pharmacother.* 2003;1:82-89.
- Nebeker JR, Barach P, Samore MH. Clarifying adverse drug events: a clinician's guide to terminology, documentation, and reporting. *Ann Intern Med.* 2004;140:795-801.
- An international randomized trial comparing four thrombolytic strategies for acute myocardial infarction. The GUSTO investigators. *N Engl J Med.* 1993;329:673-682.
- Eikelboom JW, Weitz JI. New anticoagulants. *Circulation.* 2010;121:1523-1532.
- Hayward RA, Hofer TP. Estimating hospital deaths due to medical errors: preventability is in the eye of the reviewer. *JAMA.* 2001;286:415-420.
- Jennings HR, Miller EC, Williams TS, et al. Reducing anticoagulant medication adverse events and avoidable patient harm. *Jt Comm J Qual Patient Saf.* 2008;34:196-200.
- Eckman MH, Levine HJ, Pauker SG. Making decisions about anti-thrombotic therapy in heart disease. Decision analytic and cost-effectiveness issues. *Chest.* 1995;108:457S-470S.
- Alpert JS. Are data from clinical registries of any value? *Eur Heart J.* 2000;21:1399-1401.